

Citation for published version:

Jones, MD & Buckton, G 2016, 'Comparison of the cohesion-adhesion balance approach to colloidal probe atomic force microscopy and the measurement of Hansen partial solubility parameters by inverse gas chromatography for the prediction of dry powder inhalation performance', *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*, vol. 509, no. 1-2, IJP-D-16-00632R1, pp. 419-430.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2016.06.002>, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2016.06.002>

DOI:

[10.1016/j.ijpharm.2016.06.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2016.06.002)

[10.1016/j.ijpharm.2016.06.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2016.06.002)

Publication date:

2016

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication](#)

Publisher Rights

CC BY-NC-ND

University of Bath

Alternative formats

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact:
openaccess@bath.ac.uk

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Comparison of the cohesion-adhesion balance approach to colloidal probe atomic force microscopy and the measurement of Hansen partial solubility parameters by inverse gas chromatography for the prediction of dry powder inhalation performance

Matthew D. Jones^{a,b*} and Graham Buckton^a.

^aDepartment of Pharmaceutics,
UCL School of Pharmacy,
29-39 Brunswick Square,
London,
WC1N 1AX,
United Kingdom.

^bDepartment of Pharmacy and Pharmacology,
University of Bath,
Bath,
BA2 7AY,
United Kingdom.

* Corresponding author:

Matthew Jones

Telephone: +44 (0)1225 383829

E-mail: M.D.Jones@bath.ac.uk

Graham Buckton e-mail : g.buckton@ucl.ac.uk

Abstract-

The abilities of the cohesive-adhesive balance approach to atomic force microscopy (AFM) and the measurement of Hansen partial solubility parameters by inverse gas chromatography (IGC) to predict the performance of carrier-based dry powder inhaler (DPI) formulations were compared. Five model drugs (beclometasone dipropionate, budesonide, salbutamol sulphate, terbutaline sulphate and triamcinolone acetonide) and three model carriers (erythritol, α -lactose monohydrate and D-mannitol) were chosen, giving fifteen drug-carrier combinations. Comparison of the AFM and IGC interparticulate adhesion data suggested that they did not produce equivalent results. Comparison of the AFM data with the *in vitro* fine particle delivery of appropriate DPI formulations normalised to account for particle size differences revealed a previously observed pattern for the AFM measurements, with a slightly cohesive AFM CAB ratio being associated with the highest fine particle fraction. However, no consistent relationship between formulation performance and the IGC data was observed. The results as a whole highlight the complexity of the many interacting variables that can affect the behaviour of DPIs and suggest that the prediction of their performance from a single measurement is unlikely to be successful in every case.

KEYWORDS: adhesion; atomic force microscopy; dry powder inhaler (DPI); inverse gas chromatography; materials science; physical characterisation.

50 1. Introduction

51

52 Dry powder inhalers (DPIs) are widely used to deliver drugs to the lungs. Most DPI
53 formulations consist of a mixture of micronised drug and larger “carrier” excipient particles.
54 This is aerosolised as the patient inhales and in order to reach the lungs, drug particles
55 must separate from other particles, as only those $<5\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ aerodynamic diameter (i.e. single
56 particles) will reach their target (Timsina et al., 1994). The efficacy of this process
57 determines product efficiency, hence interparticulate adhesion and cohesion are critical to
58 determining performance. It might, therefore, be possible to relate particle-particle
59 interactions within a DPI blend to product performance. Such a system would be highly
60 advantageous during the formulation of DPI products, as it would enable the rapid
61 screening of a range of salt forms or carrier excipients in order to find the combination
62 likely to yield the highest fine particle delivery.

63

64 Recently, there have been a number of attempts to develop such predictive techniques.
65 The most promising have utilised colloidal probe atomic force microscopy (AFM) and
66 inverse gas chromatography (IGC), though other techniques have also been investigated
67 (Lohrmann et al., 2007). Using AFM, the force of adhesion between particles can be
68 measured with a sensitivity as high as 10^{-11} N , but as the contact area (to which adhesion
69 is proportional) is unknown, its usefulness has been limited (Bunker et al., 2005). This
70 limitation has been overcome in various ways, including by the cohesion-adhesion balance
71 (CAB) technique, in which the cohesion of a material and its adhesion to a different
72 material are measured using the same particle, giving the same contact area (Begat et al.,
73 2004a). The ratio between cohesion and adhesion can then be calculated, a value
74 independent of contact area. Such CAB ratios have been able to explain the behaviour
75 and predict the performance of a number of types of DPI formulation (Begat et al., 2004b;

76 Begat et al., 2005; Hooton et al., 2008; Hooton et al., 2006; Jones et al., 2008a; Jones et
77 al., 2008b; Kubavat et al., 2012a, b).

78

79 IGC investigates the surface properties of a solid by examining its interaction with organic
80 vapours (Grimsey et al., 2002). The majority of work using IGC to predict DPI performance
81 has focused on the measurement of surface energy at infinite dilution (Alhalaweh et al.,
82 2013; Bernhard and Steckel, 2005; Cline and Dalby, 2002; Jiang et al., 2005; Kumon et
83 al., 2006; Oliveira et al., 2006; Sethuraman and Hickey, 2002; Traini et al., 2008).

84 However, a consistent relationship between surface energy and DPI performance has
85 failed to emerge. A number of studies have considered the dispersive surface energy of
86 either the carrier or drug, finding either a positive (Kumon et al., 2006) or negative (Jiang
87 et al., 2005; Oliveira et al., 2006; Sethuraman and Hickey, 2002; Traini et al., 2008)
88 relationship with formulation performance. In addition, Traini *et al.* found a negative
89 relationship between total carrier surface energy and fine particle delivery (Traini et al.,
90 2008). Other workers, recognising that the interaction between two particles is more
91 complex than simply the surface energy of one of them, have used more complex
92 calculations, either combining surface energy and surface area measurements (with
93 conflicting results) (Bernhard and Steckel, 2005; Sethuraman and Hickey, 2002) or
94 attempting to calculate drug-carrier interaction energy from the components of surface
95 energy (Cline and Dalby, 2002). This latter approach found a positive relationship between
96 drug-carrier interaction energy and fine particle delivery. However, this work was
97 subsequently criticized for the substitution of surface tension with free energy of adsorption
98 during the calculation of the “surface energy interaction”, as well as for the reliability of the
99 method employed for the determination of specific surface area from IGC data (Chow et
100 al., 2004).

101

Tong *et al.* employed another IGC approach, by measuring the Hansen partial solubility parameters (see Section 2.2.4.1) of salmeterol xinafoate polymorphs and lactose monohydrate, from which the strength of the various adhesive and cohesive interactions within in a formulation could be calculated (Tong et al., 2006). Subsequently, these data were found to relate to the *in vitro* performance of DPI formulations.

All of the studies discussed above make the assumption that adhesion between drug and carrier particles dominates the behaviour of carrier-based DPI formulations. However, this assumption is not universally valid, as drug-only and drug-fine excipient agglomerates are also known to exist within, and influence the performance of, carrier-based DPI formulations, especially for cohesive drugs (Jones and Price, 2006; Xu et al., 2011). This may be an explanation for the inconsistent findings of studies to date.

AFM and IGC each have the potential to develop into useful tools for the prediction of DPI performance, although each has its own strengths and weaknesses. While AFM makes a direct measurement of adhesive forces, due to the time consuming processes involved, it is only possible to do so using a few particles (Weiss et al., 2015). In addition, AFM experiments require a high degree of operator expertise. In practical terms, IGC requires a lower level of operator expertise and can also make measurements across a whole powder surface. It is, however, an indirect measurement of adhesion and does not take account of certain factors (e.g. electrostatic and capillary forces) which can influence interparticulate forces. These are measured by AFM (Bunker et al., 2005). Currently, the relative merits of AFM and IGC for the prediction of DPI performance are unclear, as their capabilities have yet to be directly compared. The aim of this study was, therefore, to compare these two techniques.

128 The CAB approach to adhesive force measurement by AFM was employed, as the
129 literature contains more comparisons of data from this technique with DPI formulation
130 performance than any other. IGC was employed at infinite dilution to determine the
131 Hansen partial solubility parameters of the study materials because this approach yielded
132 promising results with a limited number of formulations in the first report of its application
133 to DPI systems (Tong et al., 2006) and so it warrants further investigation in a larger study.
134 In addition, the prediction of DPI performance from surface energies measured at infinite
135 dilution by IGC has been widely investigated without consistent success, as discussed
136 briefly above and in greater detail elsewhere (Jones et al., 2012).

137

138 It should be noted that IGC analysis at infinite dilution provides information about the most
139 energetic sites on the surface of a powder and so give an incomplete representation of
140 surface properties (Buckton and Gill, 2007; Das et al., 2015; Tong et al., 2005). Therefore,
141 over the last decade a number of groups have developed ever more sophisticated
142 methods which employ finite dilution IGC to map the entire surface energy distribution of a
143 powder (Das et al., 2011a; Smith et al., 2014; Tong et al., 2005; Ylä-Mäihäniemi et al.,
144 2008). Such approaches have proven more effective and reliable than infinite dilution IGC
145 in understanding the effects of pharmaceutical processing and batch-to-batch variability on
146 powder surface properties (Das and Stewart, 2012; Das et al., 2015; Das et al., 2011b).
147 Finite dilution IGC has also been used to calculate the work of cohesion distribution for
148 samples of α -lactose monohydrate, which was related to the deagglomeration of the
149 powders observed by laser diffraction (Das et al., 2012). However, data derived from finite
150 dilution IGC have not yet been applied to the study of the adhesion of a drug to a carrier
151 excipient and their subsequent aerosolisation behaviour, so these approaches were not
152 employed in this study.

153

154 2. Materials and Methods

155

156 2.1. Materials

157

158 Experiments were conducted using five model drugs and three model carrier excipients,
159 allowing the preparation of 15 carrier-based DPI formulations. The model drugs were
160 micronised anhydrous beclometasone dipropionate, micronised budesonide and
161 micronised anhydrous terbutaline sulphate form B (each from AstraZeneca, Macclesfield,
162 UK), micronised salbutamol sulphate (GlaxoSmithKline Research and Development,
163 Ware, UK) and triamcinolone acetonide form I (Sanofi-Aventis, Holmes Chapel, UK).
164 Triamcinolone acetonide was subsequently micronised by one pass through a Trost Gem-
165 T mill (Plastomer Technologies, Newtown, PA, USA) with feed and grind pressures set to
166 100 psi. Before use, the micronised drugs were passed through a 500 µm stainless steel
167 sieve (Endecotts Limited, London, UK) to remove large agglomerates of particles. Two to
168 four weeks storage under vacuum and over phosphorus pentoxide (0% RH) at ambient
169 temperature were then allowed to elapse.

170

171 The model carriers were erythritol (*Eridex*®) and D-mannitol (β-polymorph,
172 *PharmMannidex*®), each supplied by Cargill Excipients (Mechelen, Belgium) and α-lactose
173 monohydrate (*Lactohale*®, Friesland Foods Domo – Pharma, Zwolle, The Netherlands).
174 The as received carriers were sieved to obtain the 63–90 µm size fraction using stainless
175 steel sieves (Endecotts Limited, London, UK) and an AS 200 sieve shaker (Retsch UK
176 Ltd., Leeds, UK) set to an amplitude of 1 mm. Before sieving, the erythritol carrier was
177 milled for 30 min at 200 rpm using a Pulverisetter 5 planetary ball mill (Fritsch GmbH, Idar-
178 Oberstein, Germany), as its initial particle size distribution was almost entirely >90 µm
179 diameter.

180 The identity, polymorphism and crystallinity of all these materials were confirmed using X-
181 ray powder diffraction before and after processing. Both drugs and excipients were stored
182 under vacuum and over phosphorus pentoxide (0% RH) at ambient temperature prior to
183 analysis.

184

185 The following elutants were used in IGC experiments: 1,4-dioxane, acetone, cyclohexane
186 and ethyl acetate (all from Fisher Scientific UK, Loughborough, UK) and acetonitrile and
187 decane (both from VWR, Poole, UK). All elutants were of at least 99% purity. Research
188 grade methane was obtained from BOC (Guildford, UK).

189

190 HPLC grade methanol was supplied by Fisher Scientific Ltd (Loughborough, UK) and
191 water was purified by reverse osmosis (PURELAB Option, Elga LabWater, Marlow, UK).

192

193 *2.2. Methods*

194

195 *2.2.1. Particle size analysis*

196

197 Particle size analysis of the drug and carrier excipient powders was carried out in the dry
198 state. Samples were dispersed with compressed air at 3 bar through a RODOS dry
199 disperser fed by an ASPIROS micro-dosing unit before sizing with a HELOS laser
200 diffraction sensor (all from Sympatec GmbH, Clausthal-Zellerfeld Germany). The particle
201 size analysis was performed using WINDOX 5 software (Sympatec GmbH, Clausthal-
202 Zellerfeld Germany). Values presented are the mean \pm standard deviation of 5
203 determinations.

204

205

206

207

208 2.2.2. *True density measurement*

209

210 Subsequent calculations required the true density of each of the study materials. This was
211 measured using a helium pycnometer (Accupyc 1330 Gas Pycnometer, Micromeritics,
212 Norcross, USA) to determine ten measurements of the volume of a sample of each solid
213 material. Samples were dried at 40°C for at least 20 hours before analysis.

214

215 2.2.3. *Quantification of cohesion-adhesion balances by AFM*

216

217 Drug-carrier CAB ratios were measured with drug particle colloidal probes. They therefore
218 describe the cohesion of drug probes to a substrate crystal of the same drug, relative to
219 the adhesion of the same drug probes to an excipient substrate crystal.

220

221 2.2.3.1. *Controlled crystallisation of substrate surfaces*

222

223 The CAB procedure requires the use of extremely smooth single crystals as substrates for
224 cohesion and adhesion measurements. Therefore, such substrates for all the study
225 materials were nucleated and grown on glass cover slips, using techniques described
226 elsewhere (Begat et al., 2004a; Hooton et al., 2006). The surface topography of the
227 resultant crystals was investigated with TappingMode AFM using a Multimode AFM, J-type
228 scanner, Nanoscope IV controller, Nanoscope 5.12b control software (all from Veeco,
229 Cambridge, UK) and a silicon tapping tip (NSG01, NTI-Europe, Apeldoorn, The
230 Netherlands). 10 μm \times 10 μm square areas of the crystal surfaces were imaged with a
231 resolution of 512 \times 512 pixels and a scan rate of 1 Hz. The roughness of imaged areas
232 was quantified using the mean (R_a) and root mean square (R_q) of the variations in the
233 height of the imaged surface, as calculated by the AFM software. To enable identification

of the Miller index of the dominant growth face of each crystalline substrate, literature data on the unit cell lattice parameters and space group symmetry operators of the study materials (Albertsson et al., 1978; Ceccarelli et al., 1980; Fronczek et al., 2003; Leger et al., 1978; Millard and Myrdal, 2002; Näther and Jeß, 2006; Raghavan et al., 2000; Sengupta and Dattagupta, 1996) were used to model their crystal habits with a 3D crystal simulation program (SHAPE v. 7.0 professional edition, Shape Software, Tennessee, USA).

2.2.3.2. *AFM colloidal probe preparation*

Four colloidal probes of each drug were prepared using the method described in detail elsewhere (Young et al., 2003). In summary, to prepare a probe, a single particle of micronised material was fixed to the apex of a V-shaped tipless cantilever with a nominal spring constant of 0.58 N.m^{-1} (Veeco NanoProbe™, model number: NP-OW, Veeco Instruments SAS, Dourdan, France) using an epoxy resin glue (*Araldite Precision*, Bostik Ltd, Leicester, UK) and custom built micromanipulation equipment. Once the epoxy resin had cured, the probes were visually inspected using an optical microscope with incident illumination to ensure that a single particle was attached in an appropriate position near the apex of the cantilever and that there was not excess glue present. After adhesive force measurements had been completed, probe integrity was confirmed by scanning electron microscopy.

2.2.3.3. *Adhesive and cohesive force measurement*

The adhesive or cohesive force between each colloidal probe and the dominant face of a smooth crystal of each relevant material was measured with force-volume mode AFM

260 using a Multimode AFM, J-type scanner, Nanoscope IV controller and Nanoscope 5.12b
261 control software (all from Veeco, Cambridge, UK). At least 256 (16×16) individual force
262 curves were collected over a $10 \mu\text{m} \times 10 \mu\text{m}$ area of the crystal substrate with a z-scan
263 rate of 4.07 Hz and a nominal compressive loading of 11.6 nN. Humidity within the sample
264 area of the AFM head was maintained at 26°C ($\pm 2^\circ\text{C}$) and 35% RH ($\pm 3\%$), using a
265 previously described method (Young et al., 2003). The cohesive force between each
266 colloidal probe and the crystalline substrate of the same drug was measured before and
267 after the adhesion measurements, to ensure there had been no changes in the drug
268 particle.

269

270 Force-volume data were processed using custom software to extract the force of
271 adhesion/cohesion from each of the force curves. This calculation was performed using
272 the nominal cantilever spring constant of 0.58 N.m^{-1} (rather than the measured spring
273 constant of each individual probe) as the comparison of the cohesion and adhesion of the
274 same probe in the CAB method eliminates the effects of cantilever-to-cantilever spring
275 constant variation (Hooton et al., 2006).

276

277 2.2.4. *Inverse gas chromatography*

278

279 2.2.4.1. *Calculations*

280

281 The Hansen partial solubility parameters of the study materials were determined by IGC,
282 following the calculations described by Tong *et al.* (2002). IGC examines the adsorption of
283 gaseous elutants from an inert carrier gas onto the solid sample packed into a glass
284 column. The basic measurement is the retention time, which can be standardised for
285 carrier gas flow rate and column void space in order to calculate the retention volume (V_N)

286 of each elutant. The specific retention volume (V_G) of each elutant can be calculated by
 287 dividing V_N by sample mass. This parameter can be used to calculate the transfer energy
 288 of adsorption (ΔE^A) for an elutant interacting with a particular sample:

$$\ln V_G = -\frac{\Delta E^A}{RT} + K_G \quad \text{Equation 1}$$

291
 292 where R is the gas constant, T is absolute temperature and K_G is a constant. ΔE^A for each
 293 elutant can, therefore, be obtained from the gradient of a plot of $\ln V_G$ versus $1/T$ using
 294 data obtained at a number of temperatures.

295
 296 The Hansen partial solubility parameter approach divides the total solubility parameter (δ_T)
 297 into three components describing dispersive forces (δ_D), polar interactions (δ_P) and
 298 hydrogen bonding (δ_H) (Tong et al., 2002). These are related as described by the following
 299 equation (Tong et al., 2002):

$$\delta_T^2 = \delta_D^2 + \delta_P^2 + \delta_H^2 \quad \text{Equation 2}$$

303
 304 Elutant ΔE^A is related to the Hansen partial solubility parameters of the solid sample (δ_D^S ,
 305 δ_P^S and δ_H^S) by the following equation (Tong et al., 2002):

$$\Delta E^A = V(\delta_D^E \delta_D^S + \delta_P^E \delta_P^S + \delta_H^E \delta_H^S) \quad \text{Equation 3}$$

309
 310 where V is the molar volume of the elutant and δ_D^E , δ_P^E and δ_H^E are the elutant Hansen
 311 partial solubility parameters. Multiple linear regression through the origin using literature

312 values of V , δ_D^E , δ_P^E and δ_H^E can, therefore, be used to obtain δ_D^S , δ_P^S and δ_H^S if ΔE^A is
313 known for a number of elutants.

314
315 Experimental data were initially analysed using IGC Analysis Macros (v1.3 standard
316 edition, Surface Measurement Systems, London, UK) to calculate V_N and Excel 2002
317 (Microsoft, Seattle, USA) was used for the remaining calculations.

318 319 2.2.4.2. *Experimental design*

320
321 There are twenty one volatile compounds with known Hansen partial solubility parameters
322 (Huu-Phuoc et al., 1987a; Huu-Phuoc et al., 1987b; Huu-Phuoc et al., 1986). Of these, five
323 were deemed unsuitable for safety or technical reasons and the alcohols (methanol to
324 butan-1-ol) were excluded when initial data suggested that they may chemisorb to many of
325 the study materials. Twelve potential elutants were therefore available (the “experimental
326 domain”), as listed in Table 1.

327
328 An appropriate choice of experimental elutants was important in order to ensure the
329 validity of the results. The experimental matrix optimisation technique described by Huu-
330 Phuoc *et al.* (Huu-Phuoc et al., 1987a; Huu-Phuoc et al., 1987b; Huu-Phuoc et al., 1986)
331 was therefore employed to rationally select the combination of these potential elutants
332 which would provide the maximum precision and accuracy in the smallest number of
333 experiments. Submatrices containing between 4 and 9 probes were considered, as this
334 was the maximum number of probes that could be loaded into the available IGC
335 instrument. All calculations were performed using Minitab 15 software (Minitab Inc., State
336 College, PA, USA).

337

2.2.4.3. Inverse gas chromatography experiments

IGC experiments were carried out using the commercial iGC system (Surface Measurement Systems, London, UK) with a research grade helium carrier gas flow (BOC, Guildford, UK) of 10 ml.min⁻¹ at 0% RH. Elutant vapour injections were carried out using the minimum possible concentration for all samples (2-3%_v of saturated elutant vapour). Column deadtime was determined by injection of methane (BOC, Guildford, UK). Measurements were carried at 303 K, 313 K, 323 K and 333 K. Each new column was allowed to equilibrate under the IGC conditions for a number of hours before injections commenced. The appropriate equilibration time for each sample was determined by repeated injections of decane onto a freshly prepared column. 60 min equilibration time was allowed following column oven temperature changes and 30 min elapsed between injections.

Silanised glass columns of 3 mm or 4 mm internal diameter and 300 mm length (Surface Measurement Systems, London, UK) were packed with powder and tapped for 15 min on a jolting voltameter (Surface Measurement Systems, London, UK) to ensure uniform column packing. Sample mass (0.06-0.15 g for drugs, 2.4-2.6 g for carriers) was chosen to give the maximum possible decane retention time whilst still producing an observable peak. Column ends were plugged with silanised glass wool. Two columns of each material were analysed and each adsorbate was injected into each column three times at each temperature. The $\ln V_G$ values derived from each elutant injection into each column were individually included in the regression analysis necessary to calculate the transfer energy of adsorption (ΔE^A) from Equation 1. Columns were weighed before and after analysis, with no changes in mass being recorded.

364

365 2.2.5. *In vitro formulation testing*

366

367 2.2.5.1. *Formulation preparation*

368

369 Each drug was mixed separately with each carrier in a ratio of 1:67.5 in 4 g batches using
370 a method based on one described previously (Louey and Stewart, 2002). The drug and
371 carrier were geometrically mixed using a microspatula and were then placed in a 15 ml
372 glass test tube with three 10 mm diameter ceramic balls. The tube was then hand-shaken
373 vigorously for 5 min. Following blending, the drug content uniformity of all the formulations
374 was assessed. Formulations were spread evenly over a clean surface and ten samples of
375 33 ± 1 mg were taken from random positions. Each sample was dissolved in a suitable
376 solvent (see Section 2.2.6) and drug concentration assessed using either a
377 spectrophotometric or spectrofluorimetric assay. The proportion of drug in each sample
378 was calculated and the content uniformity expressed as the relative standard deviation
379 (RSD). Each formulation was then stored in a sealed container with a saturated solution of
380 potassium carbonate (providing a relative humidity of 44% (O'Brien, 1948)) for 24 hours.
381 Formulations were then manually loaded into size 3 gelatin capsules (Capsugel, Bornem,
382 Belgium). Fill mass was 33 ± 1 mg, giving a nominal dose of 482 ± 15 μ g drug per capsule.
383 In addition, each of the pure micronised drugs were loaded into capsules with a fill mass of
384 5 ± 0.1 mg. Following filling, capsules were stored at 44% RH for 24 hours prior to further
385 analysis.

386

387 2.2.5.2. *Determination of in vitro fine particle delivery*

388

389 The *in vitro* fine particle delivery of the various formulations and micronised drugs was
390 investigated using a twin stage impinger (TSI) (Radleys, Saffron Walden, UK) following the
391 methodology described in the British Pharmacopoeia 2016. 7 and 30 ml of a suitable
392 solvent (see Section 2.2.6) was introduced into stages 1 and 2 of the TSI, respectively. Air
393 flow through the TSI was controlled using a custom built solenoid valve timer and set to 60
394 l.min⁻¹ prior to operation, using a flow meter connected to the mouthpiece of the TSI.
395 Under these conditions, the cut off aerodynamic diameter between the two stages was 6.4
396 µm. For each test, a *Cyclohaler* (Teva Pharmachemie, Haarlem, The Netherlands) was
397 connected to the TSI using a silicone rubber mouthpiece. The contents of five formulation
398 capsules (or one micronised drug capsule) were then aerosolised sequentially from this
399 inhaler for 5 s each (controlled by the valve timer). The apparatus was then dismantled
400 and the inhaler and capsules, mouthpiece and throat, stage 1 and stage 2 washed out with
401 a suitable solvent (see Section 2.2.6). Each formulation was tested five times in this way.

402

403 The drug content of the washings was determined using either a spectrophotometric or
404 spectrofluorimetric assay, from which the amount of drug deposited in each part of the
405 apparatus could then be determined. This enabled calculation of the recovered dose (RD,
406 the total amount of drug recovered), the emitted dose (ED, the amount of drug recovered
407 from all parts of the TSI) and fine particle fraction (FPF, the stage 2 deposition expressed
408 as a percentage of both the RD and ED).

409

410 2.2.6. Drug assays

411

412 2.2.6.1. Spectrophotometric assay

413

414 Beclometasone dipropionate, budesonide and triamcinolone acetonide concentration in
415 solution in 40:60 methanol:water (or pure methanol following the aerosolisation of pure
416 micronised drug) was determined using a Varian Cary 3E UV-visible spectrophotometer. A
417 wavelength of 241 nm was used for beclometasone dipropionate and triamcinolone
418 acetonide, whilst 247 nm was used for budesonide. The UV absorbance of each drug was
419 found to be linear with concentration ($R^2 \geq 0.999$) over the range 0.5-50 $\mu\text{g}.\text{ml}^{-1}$. The limit
420 of detection of each assay (defined as three times the standard deviation of the
421 absorbance of 40:60 methanol:water ($n=10$) (Thompson et al., 2002)) was $\leq 0.18 \mu\text{g}.\text{ml}^{-1}$.
422 The presence of erythritol, lactose or mannitol in solution did not affect any of the assays.

423

424 2.2.6.2. *Spectrofluorimetric assay*

425

426 Salbutamol sulphate and terbutaline sulphate concentrations in aqueous solution were
427 determined using a Perkin Elmer LS 50 B spectrofluorimeter. The excitation and emission
428 wavelengths were 274 nm and 305 nm for salbutamol sulphate and 280 nm and 308 nm
429 for terbutaline sulphate. The fluorescence intensity of each drug was found to be linear
430 with concentration ($R^2 \geq 0.999$) over the range 0.25-10 $\mu\text{g}.\text{ml}^{-1}$. The limit of detection of
431 each assay (defined as three times the standard deviation of the fluorescence signal
432 obtained from pure water ($n=10$) (Thompson et al., 2002)) was $\leq 0.13 \mu\text{g}.\text{ml}^{-1}$. The
433 presence of erythritol, lactose or mannitol in solution did not affect any of the assays.

434

435 3. Results and Discussion

436

437 3.1. *Particle size analysis and true density measurements*

438

439 The particle size distributions of the study materials and their true densities are
440 summarised in Table 2. There was considerable variation in the size of the drug particles,
441 with d_{50} values ranging from $1.25 \pm 0.02 \mu\text{m}$ (beclometasone dipropionate) to 3.29 ± 0.13
442 μm (triamcinolone acetonide). Such differences are likely to have an influence on *in vitro*
443 fine particle delivery, but it was not possible to produce powders with a more similar
444 particle size distribution. This reflects the more general difficulty of producing micronised
445 drug powders identical in every property relevant to DPI performance (e.g. size, shape)
446 apart from chemical identity.

447

448 3.2. Quantification of cohesion-adhesion balances by AFM

449

450 Smooth crystals (dominant face R_a and $R_q < 1 \text{ nm}$) with a well defined crystal habit were
451 successfully grown. Such smooth surfaces enable reproducible adhesion and cohesion
452 measurements during colloidal probe AFM and so these crystals were used as substrates
453 for the subsequent experiments (Begat et al., 2004a). The habits of these crystals were
454 compared with the appropriate 3D crystal habit simulations to identify the Miller index of
455 their dominant faces (see Table 3). Where a material could crystallise as one of several
456 polymorphs, the probable form obtained was suggested by the crystallisation conditions
457 and the habit of the crystal.

458

459 The cohesive forces between four colloidal probes of each drug and the dominant face of
460 the crystalline substrate of the same drug and the adhesive forces between each colloidal
461 probe and the dominant face of the crystalline substrate of each carrier excipient were
462 successfully measured. The distribution of forces obtained for each measurement followed
463 a normal distribution, so were therefore summarised by its mean and standard deviation.
464 The mean cohesive force for each colloidal probe was plotted against its mean adhesive

465 force to each carrier excipient to produce a CAB graph (Begat et al., 2004a). Linear
466 regression through the origin of each set of CAB data showed a high degree of linearity
467 ($R^2 > 0.91$ in all cases), confirming that the contact area between colloidal probes and
468 substrates remained constant for both adhesive and cohesive measurements (Begat et al.,
469 2004a). Therefore, the gradient of each line of best fit was taken as the AFM CAB ratio
470 (Table 4) (Begat et al., 2004a).

471

472 CAB ratios describe the cohesion of the colloidal probe material relative to its adhesion to
473 the crystalline substrate of the second material (Begat et al., 2004a). Therefore, a CAB
474 ratio < 1 (known as an adhesive CAB ratio) describes a system where the drug-carrier
475 adhesion is greater than the drug-drug cohesion. The closer a CAB ratio is to zero, the
476 greater was the adhesion compared to cohesion. For example, the beclometasone
477 dipropionate-erythritol CAB ratio was 0.51 ± 0.05 , indicating that, all other variables being
478 equal, the cohesiveness of beclometasone dipropionate was 0.51 times smaller than its
479 adhesiveness to erythritol (i.e. the adhesiveness is 1.96 times greater than the
480 cohesiveness). On the other hand, a CAB ratio > 1 (known as a cohesive CAB ratio)
481 describes a situation where the drug-drug cohesion is greater than the drug-carrier
482 adhesion. As the relative magnitude of this cohesion increases, so does the CAB ratio. For
483 example, the budesonide-erythritol CAB ratio was 1.38 ± 0.01 , indicating that the
484 cohesiveness of budesonide was 1.38 times greater than its adhesiveness to erythritol.

485

486 Inspection of Table 4 reveals that the majority of the AFM CAB ratios were adhesive. In
487 contrast to previous studies, where ratios as extreme as 0.22 and 2.39 were observed
488 (Jones et al., 2008b), this set of CAB ratios is reasonably close to 1.00 (i.e. where
489 adhesion and cohesion are equal), with the smallest ratio being 0.51 (beclometasone
490 dipropionate-erythritol) and the largest 1.38 (budesonide-erythritol).

491 3.3. Inverse gas chromatography

492

493 3.3.1. Experimental design

494

495

496 In order to ensure the accuracy of the results, it was important to ensure that there was a
 497 low level of multicollinearity between the partial solubility parameters of the twelve
 498 potential elutants (Table 1). This was assessed by calculation of their variance inflation
 499 factors: $f(\delta_D^{E_i}) = 1.376$, $f(\delta_P^{E_i}) = 2.140$ and $f(\delta_H^{E_i}) = 2.213$. As these values were <4 ,
 500 suggesting little multicollinearity, the experimental matrix was considered satisfactory with
 501 regard to accuracy (Huu-Phuoc et al., 1987a; Huu-Phuoc et al., 1987b; Huu-Phuoc et al.,
 502 1986; Lewis et al., 1999).

503

504 Next, the combination of elutants which would yield the most precise results, whilst still
 505 retaining acceptable accuracy, was determined using the matrix optimisation technique.
 506 Table 5 shows the results of these calculations for the most precise combinations of
 507 between 4 and 9 elutants (the “optimised sets”).

508

509 $|\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}|$ is the determinant of the information matrix for each optimised set of elutants
 510 containing N compounds. The larger this value, the more precise the experiment. The
 511 normalised determinant of the information matrix ($|\mathbf{M}|$) is equal to $|\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}|/N^p$, where p = the
 512 number of coefficients in the model equation (in this study, $p = 3$). $|\mathbf{M}|$ reflects the quality
 513 of information per elutant (D-efficiency) and so should be maximised to ensure an efficient
 514 experiment (Huu-Phuoc et al., 1987a; Huu-Phuoc et al., 1987b; Huu-Phuoc et al., 1986).
 515 Finally, the variance inflation factors ($f(\delta_D^{E_i})$, $f(\delta_P^{E_i})$ and $f(\delta_H^{E_i})$) were calculated for each

516 optimised set. As above, these values should be minimised and preferably be less than
517 four to ensure an accurate as well as a precise experiment (Lewis et al., 1999).

518

519 Inspection of Table 5 reveals that $|X'X|$ increased with each additional elutant added to the
520 optimised set, implying that the use of the set of nine elutants would result in the most
521 precise experiment. However, as the Hansen partial solubility parameters of eight different
522 materials were to be determined by IGC experiments at four temperatures, the use of nine
523 elutants would have resulted in impractically lengthy experiments. Therefore, following the
524 method of Huu-Phuoc *et al.* (Huu-Phuoc et al., 1987a; Huu-Phuoc et al., 1987b; Huu-
525 Phuoc et al., 1986), the values of $|M|$ were considered. As Table 5 shows, this was
526 maximised for the optimised set of five elutants, implying that this set would result in the
527 most efficient experiment (highest degree of precision per elutant). In addition, the
528 variance inflation factors for these elutants were amongst the smallest of those calculated
529 and were all <4 , implying that this set would result in an accurate experiment (Lewis et al.,
530 1999). It was, therefore, decided to use the optimised set of five elutants described in
531 Table 5 for the measurement of Hansen partial solubility parameters. These were decane,
532 cyclohexane, 1,4-dioxane, acetonitrile and ethyl acetate.

533

534 Subsequently, acetonitrile demonstrated an unusual interaction with triamcinolone
535 acetonitrile (highly asymmetrical elution peak with a very small maximum response at ~6
536 min tailing to at least 30 min), possibly as a result of bulk absorption. The experimental
537 design calculations were, therefore, repeated with acetonitrile excluded from the
538 experimental domain described in Table 1. The results of this process were similar to
539 those described above and resulted in the choice of decane, cyclohexane, 1,4-dioxane,
540 acetone and ethyl acetate for the analysis of triamcinolone acetone.

541

542 3.3.2. Hansen partial solubility parameters

543

544 The Hansen partial solubility parameters of the eight study materials were successfully
545 determined and are shown in Table 6.

546

547 Inspection of Table 6 reveals a range of Hansen partial solubility parameters and surface
548 properties. For example, the steroids beclometasone dipropionate and triamcinolone
549 acetonide have similar surfaces in terms of δ_D^S , but very different surfaces in terms δ_P^S
550 and δ_H^S . It is noticeable that terbutaline sulphate had the highest of each of the three
551 Hansen partial solubility parameters when compared to the other study materials. The
552 value of δ_H^S ($55.0 \pm 32.7 \text{ MPa}^{1/2}$) was especially large. This arose from the very long
553 retention time of 1,4-dioxane with this drug (~40 min at 303 K), as this elutant has large
554 δ_D^E and δ_H^E values itself. Visual inspection revealed typical Gaussian peak shape,
555 suggesting that 1,4-dioxane interacted with terbutaline sulphate only via surface
556 physisorption.

557

558 Of more concern, however, was the size of the Hansen partial solubility parameter
559 standard deviations relative to the actual values. This is especially noticeable for δ_P^S ,
560 where in several cases the standard deviation is larger than the value itself. This is
561 probably a result of the unavoidable use of multiple linear regression during the calculation
562 of Hansen partial solubility parameters. As discussed above, experimental design
563 suggested that the use of a greater number of elutants would have resulted in more
564 precise results, but this was not practical. In addition, similarly imprecise results have been
565 obtained previously despite the use of more elutants. For example, Tong *et al.* report the
566 δ_P^S value of lactose monohydrate to be $11.26 \pm 10.36 \text{ MPa}^{1/2}$ despite the use of eleven
567 elutants (Tong et al., 2006). Nevertheless, such a level of error could clearly impact on the

568 usefulness of this technique for detecting small differences between materials and will be
569 borne in mind during subsequent analysis and discussion.

570

571 3.3.3. *Calculation of particle-particle interactions*

572

573 Using the Hansen partial solubility parameters reported in Table 6 and true densities
574 reported in Table 2, the relative strength of the interparticulate interactions between the
575 various study materials was calculated, using the methods described by Rowe (Rowe,
576 1988). These data are presented in Table 7.

577

578 To enable comparison with the AFM data, IGC CAB ratios were calculated (Table 8), by
579 dividing the calculated drug cohesive interaction by the relevant drug-carrier interaction.

580

581 3.3.4. *Comparison of AFM and IGC CAB ratios*

582

583 From comparison of Tables 4 and 8, it is clear that the AFM and IGC CAB ratios are not
584 similar in absolute terms. However, in order to further investigate the relationship between
585 these two sets of data, a plot of IGC CAB ratio against AFM CAB ratio was produced
586 (Figure 1). This clearly demonstrates that there was no correlation between the two sets of
587 CAB ratios ($R^2 = 0.032$). Overall, these results suggest that the AFM and IGC techniques
588 employed do not produce comparable results. The imprecision of the solubility parameters
589 calculated from the IGC data may have contributed to this negative finding.

590

591 In comparing the two sets of CAB ratios shown in Tables 4 and 8, it is clear that for every
592 drug-carrier combination, the IGC CAB ratio was greater (i.e. more cohesive) than the
593 AFM CAB ratio. This may be related to the physicochemical properties of the materials

used for the determination of the drug-drug cohesive interaction by each technique. For the AFM CAB ratios, drug cohesion was defined as being the adhesive force measured between the micronised drug particle forming part of the colloidal probe and the dominant face of the smooth drug crystal. On the other hand, for the IGC CAB ratios, cohesion was defined as being the strength of the interparticulate interaction between two drug particles, which was calculated using Hansen partial solubility parameters relating to micronised material. As highly energetic processes such as air-jet milling are known to produce disorder in crystalline materials and thus increase their surface energy (Buckton, 1997; Newell et al., 2001), the calculated cohesion between two micronised drug particles (as in the IGC method) might be greater than the measured cohesion between a micronised drug particle and the surface of a perfect drug crystal (as in the AFM method). When CAB ratios were subsequently calculated, this would be reflected by the IGC CAB ratios being larger (i.e. more cohesive) than the AFM CAB ratios, as was observed. This phenomenon highlights the differences between AFM and IGC and may have contributed to the lack of correlation between AFM and IGC CAB ratios.

3.4. *In vitro formulation testing*

The relative standard deviation of the drug concentration was <6% for every formulation, confirming them to be sufficiently uniform for further analysis. Visual inspection suggested that drug-only capsules contained a smaller fill volume than carrier-based formulation capsules. The difference in volumes was not as great as the difference in fill masses would suggest, due to the lower bulk density of the micronised drugs. In both cases, less than one quarter of the total volume of the capsule was occupied by powder, so the difference in fill volumes was not expected to effect the aerosolisation processes of the drug-only formulations compared with the carrier-based formulations.

620

621 Figure 2 shows the FPF of all the carrier-based formulations tested and its relationship
622 with the appropriate drug-carrier AFM CAB ratio. Figure 3 shows the relationship between
623 FPF and drug-carrier interparticulate interaction strength as calculated from Hansen partial
624 solubility parameters. These figures do not show a clear overall relationship between FPF
625 and the AFM or IGC data. This is unsurprising, because, as discussed, the
626 physicochemical properties of the five drugs used in this study were not identical,
627 especially in terms of particle size (see Table 2), meaning that each will have a different
628 intrinsic level of performance (Jones et al., 2008b). Such differences may be sufficiently
629 great to mask the effect of drug-carrier adhesion on fine particle delivery.

630

631 Figure 4 shows the relationship between the FPF and IGC CAB ratio. Given the
632 inconsistent relationship between the AFM and IGC CAB ratios discussed above, it is
633 unsurprising that this figure does not reveal a consistent relationship between FPF and
634 IGC CAB ratio.

635

636 3.5. Normalisation of carrier-based fine particle fraction

637

638 In the IGC study on which this work is based (Tong et al., 2006) a strong linear correlation
639 ($n = 3$) was found between the increase in the FPF(RD) of a drug following the addition of
640 a lactose carrier (i.e. carrier-based FPF(RD) minus pure drug FPF(RD)) and the difference
641 between the strengths of the adhesive drug-carrier and cohesive drug-drug interactions
642 calculated from Hansen partial solubility parameters. In order to further investigate this
643 possible predictive relationship, an equivalent plot was prepared for each of the carriers
644 employed during this study (Figure 5). However, as is clear from inspection of this figure,
645 no such linear correlation was observed for any of the carriers. This is still the case if the

646 data relating to terbutaline sulphate (which was over three times as cohesive as the next
647 drug) are ignored. The explanation for the failure of this previously successful predictive
648 relationship may be the use of a greater range of materials with more similar particle size
649 distributions than those used previously (Tong et al., 2006). It may also be because, with
650 the exception of salbutamol sulphate, the addition of carrier resulted in a decrease in FPF,
651 whereas in the previous study it universally increased FPF. It should also be noted that
652 there were differences in formulation drug concentration, inhaler device and capsule fill
653 mass between this study and the previous work (Tong et al., 2006).

654

655 Finally, Tong *et al.* calculated the Hansen partial solubility parameters of their carrier
656 material (α -lactose monohydrate) from IGC data obtained at a humidity of 50% RH.
657 However, the Hansen partial solubility parameters of their drugs were calculated using IGC
658 data obtained at a humidity of 0% RH, as comparison of IGC parameters obtained at 40°C
659 and either 0% RH or 50% RH found no significant differences. In this study, all IGC
660 experiments were carried out at 0% RH. 50% RH is more akin to the environmental
661 conditions encountered during the preparation and testing of DPI formulations. However,
662 the presence of water molecules within the IGC column also results in an increased
663 number of mechanisms by which elutant molecules might interact with the powder surface,
664 making the interpretation of such results much more complex (Buckton and Gill, 2007).

665

666 The principle of the above analysis by Tong *et al.* (examining the relationship between the
667 increase in the FPF(RD) following the addition of a carrier and the difference between the
668 strengths of the adhesive and cohesive interactions) is to normalise formulation FPF to
669 account for differences in the intrinsic *in vitro* performance of the model drugs that might
670 otherwise confound the theoretical relationship between drug-carrier adhesion and fine
671 particle delivery. A significant factor determining the intrinsic *in vitro* performance of a

model drug is the proportion of particles with an aerodynamic diameter <6.4 µm (the cut-off diameter between stages 1 and 2 of the TSI). Therefore, another approach to normalise formulation FPF is to calculate the proportion of particles of each drug with an aerodynamic diameter <6.4 µm and then use this figure to adjust the actual FPF obtained. The proportion of particles with an aerodynamic diameter (d_{ae}) <6.4 µm can be estimated from laser diffraction particle size distributions using the following equation (Shekunov et al., 2007):

$$d_{ae} = d_v \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{\chi}} \quad \text{Equation 4}$$

where d_v is volume equivalent diameter (from the laser diffraction particle size data), ρ is particle density and χ is the dynamic shape factor. Using the density values from Table 2 and making the assumption that the particles were spherical (i.e. that $\chi = 1$), values of d_v equivalent to a d_{ae} of 6.4 µm were calculated for each drug. Using the values of d_v calculated in this way, the laser diffraction particle size distributions of the model drugs were analysed to determine the percentage (by volume) of each drug contained in particles with a d_{ae} <6.4 µm. Finally, based on the calculation of Tong *et al.* (2006) (carrier-based FPF(RD) - pure drug FPF(RD)), the subsequent calculation was used to estimate the aerosolisation efficiency of drug particles with a d_{ae} <6.4 µm:

$$\text{Normalised FPF(RD)} = \text{carrier-based formulation FPF(RD)} - \% \text{ of drug particles with } d_{ae} < 6.4 \mu\text{m}$$

Equation 5

A plot of normalised FPF(RD) versus AFM CAB ratio was prepared (Figure 6).

698 Having normalised for differing intrinsic drug particle size distributions (one of the major
699 confounding variables that may mask the effect of drug-carrier adhesion on the
700 performance of DPI formulations) in this way, Figure 6 follows the pattern seen in previous
701 studies (Hooton et al., 2008; Hooton et al., 2006; Jones et al., 2008a), where the
702 performance of the carrier-based formulations was optimised when the AFM CAB ratio
703 was slightly cohesive. (The only one of these previous studies which made comparisons
704 between formulations containing different drugs used micronised powders with much more
705 similar particle size distributions than those used in this investigation (Jones et al.,
706 2008a)). However, there was no consistent relationship between FPF normalised in this
707 way and either the IGC CAB ratios or the strength of the drug-carrier adhesive interaction.
708

709 *3.6. Possible reasons for the discrepancies between AFM and IGC results*

710

711 The results presented above suggest that the AFM and IGC techniques employed in this
712 study were not measuring the same phenomenon and that they cannot be used
713 interchangeably. This may be attributable to the use of materials with different physical
714 properties for the AFM and IGC experiments (discussed above) or to the imprecision in the
715 Hansen partial solubility parameters calculated from the IGC data. As discussed, the use
716 of a greater number of elutants may have increased the precision of the IGC results, but in
717 a study of this size, this would have been impractical. An alternative explanation for the
718 absence of a strong relationship between the AFM and IGC CAB ratios lies in the
719 consideration of what each technique measures. AFM makes a direct measurement of the
720 total adhesive force acting between the colloidal probe and the substrate. This may be
721 made up of van der Waals', capillary and electrostatic forces (Podczek, 1998). On the
722 other hand, IGC measures the properties of the surfaces involved in the interaction and
723 then seeks to calculate the strength of the resultant adhesion. Therefore, unlike AFM, IGC

724 takes no account of capillary or electrostatic forces, although these are likely to be present
725 in DPI formulations (Zeng et al., 2001).

726

727 It was a requirement of the calculations used in this study that the IGC experiments were
728 carried out at infinite dilution, suggesting that the solubility parameters obtained would
729 have been biased towards the highest energy sites on the powder surfaces (Buckton and
730 Gill, 2007). Such sites may not have been representative of all the areas involved in
731 particle-particle adhesion, resulting in further discrepancies between the AFM and IGC
732 data. Further developments of the previously discussed finite dilution IGC techniques to
733 enable the calculation of drug-carrier work of adhesion distributions might overcome this
734 limitation. In addition, the differing particle size distributions (Table 2) of the various drugs
735 and excipients suggest that they had different specific surface areas, but the same elutant
736 vapour injection concentrations were used for all samples. This might have resulted in
737 different degrees of surface coverage for different materials, introducing a further source of
738 variability into the IGC results (Gamble et al., 2012).

739

740 As discussed above, both techniques employed in this study have different limitations.
741 AFM makes a direct measurement of adhesion, but employs semi-idealised materials
742 (Weiss et al., 2015), whereas IGC makes use of the actual materials employed in the DPI
743 formulation but relies on the calculation of interparticulate adhesion from measured
744 surface properties. The data discussed here suggest that after normalisation to account for
745 particle size differences, the measurement of AFM CAB ratios is more successful at
746 predicting carrier-based DPI performance than the measurement of solubility parameters
747 using IGC. Therefore, the limitations of AFM seem to be more acceptable than those of the
748 IGC technique employed here. IGC remains, however, a valuable technique when
749 considering other aspects of DPI formulation, including the effects of batch processing

750 techniques on powder surface properties and batch-to-batch variability (Jones et al.,
751 2012).

752

753 *3.7 Relationship to similar studies*

754

755 Unlike some previous studies in this area, this paper has attempted to relate the
756 interaction between various surface properties of drug and carrier particles to DPI
757 formulation performance, rather than relying on the measurement of only one surface
758 property of one type of particle. If an appropriate method can be found, such an approach
759 might be expected to yield more useful predictive relationships, as it takes greater account
760 of the complex nature of interparticulate interactions. In contrast to this account, the one
761 previous major study that attempted to take all these factors into account found a positive
762 relationship between interaction energy and formulation fine particle fraction (Cline and
763 Dalby, 2002). Without a direct comparison, it is impossible to fully account for these
764 differences, but it is noteworthy that previously a number of drugs and carriers were
765 combined in an incomplete and unsystematic way and aerosolised under differing
766 conditions (Cline and Dalby, 2002), whereas this study investigated the aerosolisation of
767 all possible combinations of a number of drugs and carriers under uniform conditions.

768

769 Previous IGC studies have almost invariably found either a positive or negative linear
770 relationship between some calculation of the potential of two surfaces to interact and
771 formulation performance (Bernhard and Steckel, 2005; Cline and Dalby, 2002; Jiang et al.,
772 2005; Kumon et al., 2006; Oliveira et al., 2006; Sethuraman and Hickey, 2002; Traini et al.,
773 2008), whereas the data presented here suggest that there might be an optimum level of
774 drug-carrier adhesion. It is possible this may have arisen from previous studies not

considering material combinations with a wide enough range of drug-carrier interactions, resulting in the examination of data exclusively above or below the optimum point.

The optimisation of fine particle delivery when the AFM CAB ratio was slightly cohesive may relate to the state of the formulations. With adhesive CAB ratios, drug-carrier interactive units might dominate the formulation, so reduced drug-carrier adhesion results in increased fine particle delivery. However, with cohesive CAB ratios, drug agglomerates might also be present, producing more complex aerosolisation mechanisms (Xu et al., 2011) and a different relationship between drug-carrier adhesion and fine particle delivery.

4. Conclusions

There are several studies that describe a consistent relationship between drug-carrier AFM CAB ratio and the *in vitro* performance of carrier-based DPI formulations (Hooton et al., 2008; Hooton et al., 2006; Jones et al., 2008a). After normalisation for differing drug particle size distributions, the results of this study were consistent with these previous findings. However, to date there has only been one study suggesting a relationship between drug-carrier interactions calculated from Hansen partial solubility parameters derived from IGC measurements and formulation performance (Tong et al., 2006), which was not replicated in this study. Therefore, of the two approaches described in this study, the measurement of AFM CAB ratios proved to be more successful at predicting carrier-based DPI performance, but even this technique was not without its limitations.

This study as a whole serves to demonstrate the complexity of the many interacting variables that can affect the performance of DPIs and suggests that the prediction of the fine particle delivery of such formulations from a single type of measurement (e.g. drug-

carrier adhesion) will not be successful in every case (Alhalaweh et al., 2013; Hickey et al., 2007; Jones et al., 2012). Data normalisation to control for confounding variables such as particle size can be useful, but it is currently not possible to control for every possible influence on DPI behaviour. Finally, the observation of an optimum point in the relationship between drug-carrier adhesion data and fine particle delivery, both in this study and others (Hooton et al., 2008; Hooton et al., 2006; Jones et al., 2008a), suggests that it is overly simplistic to expect a linear relationship between these variables, as the best performance from these complex systems is likely to be obtained as a result of achieving the optimum balance between various opposing factors.

5. Acknowledgements

This work was carried out whilst MDJ was the holder of a Maplethorpe Postdoctoral Fellowship of the University of London. The Maplethorpe Trust had no involvement in the study design, data collection, analysis or interpretation, or the preparation and submission of this report. The authors gratefully acknowledge Robert Price and Jag Shur (University of Bath) for access to the particle sizing and helium pycnometry equipment.

819 6. References

820

821 Albertsson, J., Oskarsson, A., Svensson, C., 1978. X-ray study of budesonide: molecular
822 structures and solid solutions of the (22S) and (22R) epimers of 11 β ,21-dihydroxy-16 α ,17 α -
823 propylmethylenedioxy-1,4-pregnadiene-3,20-dione. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Sci.*
824 34, 3027-3036.

825 Alhalaweh, A., Kaialy, W., Buckton, G., Gill, H., Nokhodchi, A., Velaga, S.P., 2013.
826 Theophylline cocrystals prepared by spray drying: physicochemical properties and
827 aerosolization performance. *AAPS PharmSciTech* 14, 265-276.

828 Begat, P., Morton, D.A.V., Staniforth, J.N., Price, R., 2004a. The cohesive-adhesive
829 balances in dry powder inhaler formulations I: direct quantification by atomic force
830 microscopy. *Pharm. Res.* 21, 1591-1597.

831 Begat, P., Morton, D.A.V., Staniforth, J.N., Price, R., 2004b. The cohesive-adhesive
832 balances in dry powder inhaler formulations II: influence on fine particle delivery
833 characteristics. *Pharm. Res.* 21, 1826-1833.

834 Begat, P., Price, R., Harris, H., Morton, D.A.V., Staniforth, J.N., 2005. The influence of force
835 control agents on the cohesive-adhesive balance in dry powder inhaler formulations. *KONA*
836 23, 109-121.

837 Bernhard, F., Steckel, H., 2005. Proposing a model that correlates surface energy values to
838 aerosol data, *Proceedings of Drug Delivery to the Lungs 16*. The Aerosol Society,
839 Edinburgh, UK, p. 97.

840 Buckton, G., 1997. Characterisation of small changes in the physical properties of powders
841 of significance for dry powder inhaler formulations. *Adv. Drug Delivery Rev.* 26, 17-27.

842 Buckton, G., Gill, H., 2007. The importance of surface energetics of powders for drug
843 delivery and the establishment of inverse gas chromatography. *Adv. Drug Delivery Rev.* 59,
844 1474-1479.

- 845 Bunker, M., Davies, M., Roberts, C., 2005. Towards screening of inhalation formulations:
846 measuring interactions with atomic force microscopy. *Expert Opin. Drug Del.* 2, 613-624.
- 847 Ceccarelli, C., Jeffrey, G.A., McMullan, R.K., 1980. A neutron-diffraction refinement of the
848 crystal structure of erythritol at 22.6 K. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Sci.* 36, 3079-3083.
- 849 Chow, A.H.L., Tong, H.H.Y., Shekunov, B.Y., York, P., 2004. Use of inverse gas
850 chromatography (IGC) to determine the surface energy and surface area of powdered
851 materials. *Pharm. Res.* 21, 1718-1719.
- 852 Cline, D., Dalby, R., 2002. Predicting the quality of powders for inhalation from surface
853 energy and area. *Pharm. Res.* 19, 1274-1277.
- 854 Das, S.C., Behara, S.R., Bulitta, J.B., Morton, D.A., Larson, I., Stewart, P.J., 2012. Powder
855 strength distributions for understanding de-agglomeration of lactose powders. *Pharm. Res.*
856 29, 2926-2935.
- 857 Das, S.C., Larson, I., Morton, D.A., Stewart, P.J., 2011a. Determination of the polar and total
858 surface energy distributions of particulates by inverse gas chromatography. *Langmuir* 27,
859 521-523.
- 860 Das, S.C., Stewart, P.J., 2012. Characterising surface energy of pharmaceutical powders
861 by inverse gas chromatography at finite dilution. *J. Pharm. Pharmacol.* 64, 1337-1348.
- 862 Das, S.C., Tucker, I.G., Stewart, P.J., 2015. Surface energy determined by inverse gas
863 chromatography as a tool to investigate particulate interactions in dry powder inhalers.
864 *Current Pharmaceutical Design* 21, 3932-3944.
- 865 Das, S.C., Zhou, Q., Morton, D.A., Larson, I., Stewart, P.J., 2011b. Use of surface energy
866 distributions by inverse gas chromatography to understand mechanofusion processing and
867 functionality of lactose coated with magnesium stearate. *Eur. J. Pharm. Sci.* 43, 325-333.
- 868 Fronczek, F.R., Kamel, H.N., Slattery, M., 2003. Three polymorphs (α , β and δ) of D-
869 mannitol at 100 K. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun.* 59, 567-570.

- 870 Gamble, J.F., Leane, M., Olusanmi, D., Tobyn, M., Supuk, E., Khoo, J., Naderi, M., 2012.
871 Surface energy analysis as a tool to probe the surface energy characteristics of micronized
872 materials--a comparison with inverse gas chromatography. *Int. J. Pharm.* 422, 238-244.
- 873 Grimsey, I.M., Feeley, J.C., York, P., 2002. Analysis of the surface energy of pharmaceutical
874 powders by inverse gas chromatography. *J. Pharm. Sci.* 91, 571-583.
- 875 Hickey, A.J., Mansour, H.M., Telko, M.J., Xu, Z., Smyth, H.D.C., Mulder, T., McLean, R.,
876 Langridge, J., Papadopoulos, D., 2007. Physical characterization of component particles
877 included in dry powder inhalers I. Strategy review and static characteristics. *J. Pharm. Sci.*
878 96, 1282-1301.
- 879 Hooton, J.C., Jones, M.D., Harris, H., Shur, J., Price, R., 2008. The influence of crystal habit
880 on the prediction of dry powder inhalation formulation performance using the cohesive-
881 adhesive force balance approach. *Drug Dev. Ind. Pharm.* 34, 974-983.
- 882 Hooton, J.C., Jones, M.D., Price, R., 2006. Predicting the behavior of novel sugar carriers
883 for dry powder inhaler formulations via the use of a cohesive-adhesive force balance
884 approach. *J. Pharm. Sci.* 95, 1288-1297.
- 885 Huu-Phuoc, N., Ho, N.T., Buchmann, M., Kesselring, U.W., 1987a. Determination of partial
886 and total cohesion parameters of caffeine, theophylline, and methyl para-hydroxybenzoate
887 by gas-solid chromatography. *J. Pharm. Sci.* 76, 406-410.
- 888 Huu-Phuoc, N., Nam-Tran, H., Buchmann, M., Kesselring, U.W., 1987b. Experimentally
889 optimized determination of the partial and total cohesion parameters of an insoluble polymer
890 (microcrystalline cellulose) by gas-solid chromatography. *Int. J. Pharm.* 34, 217-223.
- 891 Huu-Phuoc, N., Phan-Tan-Luu, R., Munafo, A., Ruelle, P., Namtran, H., Buchmann, M.,
892 Kesselring, U.W., 1986. Determination of partial solubility parameters of lactose by gas solid
893 chromatography. *J. Pharm. Sci.* 75, 68-72.
- 894 Jiang, R.G., Pan, W.S., Wang, C.L., Liu, H., 2005. Use of recrystallized lactose as carrier
895 for inhalation powder of interferon $\alpha 2b$. *Pharmazie* 60, 632-633.

- 896 Jones, M.D., Harris, H., Hooton, J.C., Shur, J., King, G.S., Mathoulin, C.A., Nichol, K., Smith,
897 T.L., Dawson, M.L., Ferrie, A.R., Price, R., 2008a. An investigation into the relationship
898 between carrier-based dry powder inhalation performance and formulation cohesive-
899 adhesive force balances. *Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm.* 69, 496-507.
- 900 Jones, M.D., Hooton, J.C., Dawson, M.L., Ferrie, A.R., Price, R., 2008b. An investigation
901 into the dispersion mechanisms of ternary dry powder inhaler formulations by the
902 quantification of interparticulate forces. *Pharm. Res.* 25, 337-348.
- 903 Jones, M.D., Price, R., 2006. The influence of fine excipient particles on the performance of
904 carrier-based dry powder inhalation formulations. *Pharm. Res.* 23, 1665-1674.
- 905 Jones, M.D., Young, P., Traini, D., 2012. The use of inverse gas chromatography for the
906 study of lactose and pharmaceutical materials used in dry powder inhalers. *Adv. Drug*
907 *Delivery Rev.* 64, 285-293.
- 908 Kubavat, H.A., Shur, J., Ruecroft, G., Hipkiss, D., Price, R., 2012a. Influence of primary
909 crystallisation conditions on the mechanical and interfacial properties of micronised
910 budesonide for dry powder inhalation. *Int. J. Pharm.* 430, 26-33.
- 911 Kubavat, H.A., Shur, J., Ruecroft, G., Hipkiss, D., Price, R., 2012b. Investigation into the
912 influence of primary crystallization conditions on the mechanical properties and secondary
913 processing behaviour of fluticasone propionate for carrier based dry powder inhaler
914 formulations. *Pharm. Res.* 29, 994-1006.
- 915 Kumon, M., Suzuki, M., Kusai, A., Yonemochi, E., Terada, K., 2006. Novel approach to DPI
916 carrier lactose with mechanofusion process with additives and evaluation by IGC. *Chem.*
917 *Pharm. Bull.* 54, 1508-1514.
- 918 Leger, J.M., Goursolle, M., Gadret, M., Carpy, A., 1978. Structure cristalline du sulfate de
919 salbutamol [*tert*-butylamino-2 (hydroxy-4 hydroxyméthyl-3 phényl)-1 éthanol.0.5H₂SO₄].
920 *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Sci.* 34, 1203-1208.

- 921 Lewis, G.A., Mathieu, D., Phan-Tan-Luu, R., 1999. Pharmaceutical experimental design.
922 Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York.
- 923 Lohrmann, M., Kappl, M., Butt, H.J., Urbanetz, N.A., Lippold, B.C., 2007. Adhesion forces
924 in interactive mixtures for dry powder inhalers - evaluation of a new measuring method. Eur.
925 J. Pharm. Biopharm. 67, 579-586.
- 926 Louey, M.D., Stewart, P.J., 2002. Particle interactions involved in aerosol dispersion of
927 ternary interactive mixtures. Pharm. Res. 19, 1524-1531.
- 928 Millard, J.W., Myrdal, P.B., 2002. Anhydrous beclomethasone dipropionate. Acta
929 Crystallogr., Sect. E: Struct. Rep. Online E58.
- 930 Näther, C., Jeß, I., 2006. New news about an old drug: investigations on the polymorphism
931 of triamcinolone acetonide. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 45, 6381-6383.
- 932 Newell, H.E., Buckton, G., Butler, D.A., Thielmann, F., Williams, D.R., 2001. The use of
933 inverse phase gas chromatography to measure the surface energy of crystalline,
934 amorphous, and recently milled lactose. Pharm. Res. 18, 662-666.
- 935 O'Brien, F.E.M., 1948. The control of humidity by saturated salt solutions. J. Sci. Instrum.
936 25, 73-76.
- 937 Oliveira, A.C., Buckton, G., Collins, E., Clarke, J., 2006. In vitro deposition from a dry powder
938 inhaler and its correlation with surface energy of amorphous fine particle surfaces. J. Pharm.
939 Pharmacol. 58, A23.
- 940 Podczek, F., 1998. Particle-particle adhesion in pharmaceutical powder handling. Imperial
941 College Press, London.
- 942 Raghavan, S.L., Ristic, R.I., Sheen, D.B., Sherwood, J.N., Trowbridge, L., York, P., 2000.
943 Morphology of crystals of α -lactose hydrate grown from aqueous solution. J. Phys. Chem.
944 B 104, 12256-12262.
- 945 Rowe, R.C., 1988. Interaction of lubricants with microcrystalline cellulose and anhydrous
946 lactose - a solubility parameter approach. Int. J. Pharm. 41, 223-226.

- 947 Sengupta, R., Dattagupta, J.K., 1996. A β -adrenergic agonist: protonated terbutaline
948 hemisulfate. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun.* C52, 162-164.
- 949 Sethuraman, V.V., Hickey, A.J., 2002. Powder properties and their influence on dry powder
950 inhaler delivery of an antitubercular drug. *AAPS PharmSciTech* 3, 28.
- 951 Shekunov, B.Y., Chattopadhyay, P., Tong, H.H.Y., Chow, A.H.L., 2007. Particle size
952 analysis in pharmaceuticals: principles, methods and applications. *Pharm. Res.* 24, 203-227.
- 953 Smith, R.R., Williams, D.R., Burnett, D.J., Heng, J.Y.Y., 2014. A new method to determine
954 dispersive surface energy site distributions by inverse gas chromatography. *Langmuir* 30,
955 8029-8035.
- 956 Thompson, M., Ellison, S.L.R., Wood, R., 2002. Harmonized guidelines for single-laboratory
957 validation of methods of analysis (IUPAC technical report). *Pure App. Chem.* 74, 835-855.
- 958 Timsina, M.P., Martin, G.P., Marriott, C., Ganderton, D., Yianneskis, M., 1994. Drug delivery
959 to the respiratory tract using dry powder inhalers. *Int. J. Pharm.* 101, 1-13.
- 960 Tong, H.H.Y., Shekunov, B.Y., York, P., Chow, A.H.L., 2002. Influence of polymorphism on
961 the surface energetics of salmeterol xinafoate crystallized from supercritical fluids. *Pharm.*
962 *Res.* 19, 640-648.
- 963 Tong, H.H.Y., Shekunov, B.Y., York, P., Chow, A.H.L., 2005. Surface characterization of
964 salmeterol xinafoate powders by inverse gas chromatography at finite coverage. *J. Pharm.*
965 *Sci.* 94, 695-700.
- 966 Tong, H.H.Y., Shekunov, B.Y., York, P., Chow, A.H.L., 2006. Predicting the aerosol
967 performance of dry powder inhalation formulations by interparticulate interaction analysis
968 using inverse gas chromatography. *J. Pharm. Sci.* 95, 228-233.
- 969 Traini, D., Young, P.M., Thielmann, F., Acharya, M., 2008. The influence of lactose
970 pseudopolymorphic form on salbutamol sulfate-lactose interactions in DPI formulations.
971 *Drug Dev. Ind. Pharm.* 34, 992-1001.

- 972 Weiss, C., McLoughlin, P., Cathcart, H., 2015. Characterisation of dry powder inhaler
973 formulations using atomic force microscopy. *Int. J. Pharm.* 494, 393-407.
- 974 Xu, Z., Mansour, H.M., Hickey, A.J., 2011. Particle interactions in dry powder inhaler unit
975 processes: a review. *J. Adhes. Sci. Technol.* 25, 451-482.
- 976 Ylä-Mäihäniemi, P.P., Heng, J.Y.Y., Thielmann, F., Williams, D.R., 2008. Inverse gas
977 chromatographic method for measuring the dispersive surface energy distribution for
978 particulates. *Langmuir* 24, 9551-9557.
- 979 Young, P.M., Price, R., Tobyn, M.J., Buttrum, M., Dey, F., 2003. Investigation into the effect
980 of humidity on drug-drug interactions using the atomic force microscope. *J. Pharm. Sci.* 92,
981 815-822.
- 982 Zeng, X.M., Martin, G.P., Marriott, C., 2001. Particulate interactions in dry powder
983 formulations for inhalation. Taylor and Francis, London.
- 984
- 985

986 **Captions**

987

988 Table 1: Molar volume (V) and Hansen partial solubility parameters (δ_D^E , δ_P^E and δ_H^E) of
 989 the various potential elutants forming the domain from which the experimental elutants
 990 were selected (Huu-Phuoc et al., 1987a; Huu-Phuoc et al., 1987b; Huu-Phuoc et al.,
 991 1986).

992

993 Table 2: Summary particle size statistics (n = 5) and true densities (n = 10) of the study
 994 materials.

995

996 Table 3: Probable polymorph and dominant face Miller index of extremely smooth crystal
 997 substrates used for colloidal probe AFM adhesion and cohesion measurements.

998

999 Table 4: AFM CAB ratios \pm SD and respective coefficients of determination (R^2) for each
 1000 drug-carrier interaction.

1001

1002 Table 5: Results of matrix optimisation calculations used to determine the best
 1003 combination of elutants to use for measurement of Hansen partial solubility parameters by
 1004 IGC.

1005

1006 Table 6: Hansen partial solubility parameters determined for the eight study materials and
 1007 the correlation coefficient of the multiple linear regression analysis used to obtain these
 1008 data.

1009

1010 Table 7: Relative strength of interparticulate interactions between each model drug and
 1011 either itself (cohesion) or each of the model carrier excipients, as calculated from their
 1012 Hansen partial solubility parameters (MPa).

Table 8: IGC CAB ratios for each drug-carrier interaction, as calculated from Hansen partial solubility parameters.

Figure 1: Comparison of IGC and AFM CAB ratios. ✕ - beclometasone dipropionate; ★ - budesonide; △ - salbutamol sulphate; □ - terbutaline sulphate; ● - triamcinolone acetonide.

Figure 2: The relationship between FPF (based on both ED and RD) and AFM CAB ratio. ✕ - beclometasone dipropionate; ★ - budesonide; △ - salbutamol sulphate; □ - terbutaline sulphate; ● - triamcinolone acetonide.

Figure 3: The relationship between FPF (based on both ED and RD) and drug-carrier interparticulate interaction strength as calculated from Hansen partial solubility parameters. ✕ - beclometasone dipropionate; ★ - budesonide; △ - salbutamol sulphate; □ - terbutaline sulphate; ● - triamcinolone acetonide.

Figure 4: The relationship between FPF (based on both ED and RD) and IGC CAB ratio. ✕ - beclometasone dipropionate; ★ - budesonide; △ - salbutamol sulphate; □ - terbutaline sulphate; ● - triamcinolone acetonide.

Figure 5: Relationship between increase in FPF(RD) following addition of each carrier and the difference in strength between the adhesive drug-carrier and cohesive drug-drug interactions calculated from Hansen partial solubility parameters.

1038 Figure 6: The relationship between the difference between carrier-based formulation
1039 FPF(RD) and the proportion of drug particles with a $d_{ae} < 6.4 \mu\text{m}$ and AFM CAB ratio.